

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE


In re Application of: John Anthony Tejada, et al.  
Serial No.: 09/939,384  
Filed: August 24, 2001  
Group No.: 2873  
Examiner: Mohammed A. Hasan  
Notice of Allowance Mailed: November 10, 2004  
Confirmation No.: 3457  
Title: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR PROVIDING OPTICAL ALIGNMENT FOR A VISIBLE WAVELENGTH REFLECTIVE SYSTEM

**Mail Stop ISSUE FEE**  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY EXPRESS MAIL**

I hereby certify that the attached Issue Fee Transmittal (PTOL-85) (one (1) page) and Response to Reasons for Allowance (one (1) page) are being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 C.F.R. 1.10 on this 10th day of February 2005 addressed to Mail Stop ISSUE FEE, Commissioner of Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.



Quincy Chambers

Express Mail Receipt  
No. EV 323314907 US



ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.  
004578.1152

PATENT APPLICATION  
09/939,384

1

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: John Anthony Tejada, et al.  
Serial No.: 09/939,384  
Filed: August 24, 2001  
Group No.: 2873  
Examiner: Mohammed A. Hasan  
Notice of Allowance Mailed: November 10, 2004  
Confirmation No.: 3457  
Title: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR PROVIDING OPTICAL  
ALIGNMENT FOR A VISIBLE WAVELENGTH REFLECTIVE  
SYSTEM

**Mail Stop Issue Fee**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

**RESPONSE TO REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**

Applicants appreciate the Examiner's allowance of Claims 1, 3-8, 10, 11, 13-17, 19-29, and 31-34. Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.104, Applicants respectfully issue a statement commenting on the Examiner's reasons for allowance. Applicants respectfully disagree with the Examiner's reasons for allowance to the extent that they are inconsistent with applicable case law, statutes, and regulations. Furthermore, Applicants do not admit to any characterization or limitation of the claims or to any characterization of a reference by the Examiner, particularly any that are inconsistent with the language of the claims considered in their entirety and including all of their constituent limitations.

Respectfully submitted,  
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.  
Attorneys for Applicants

Bradley P. Williams  
Registration No. 40,227

Date: February 10, 2005  
**CUSTOMER NO. 45507**